

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
TUESDAY, DECEMBER 14, 1999
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

2nd Dist.
B121717
Div. 4

People
v.
Angelo Young

The time for granting or denying review on the court's own motion is hereby extended to and including December 28, 1999, or the date upon which review is either granted or denied. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 28(a)(1).)

2nd Dist.
B124871
Div. 4

People
v.
Pedro Lara

The time for granting or denying review on the court's own motion is hereby extended to and including December 28, 1999, or the date upon which review is either granted or denied. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 28(a)(1).)

2nd Dist.
B127708
Div. 7

People, Respondent
v.
Dwight A. Staten, Appellant

The time for granting or denying review on the court's own motion is hereby extended to and including January 11, 2000, or the date upon which review is either granted or denied. Rule 28(a)(1), California Rules of Court.

S076654

In re Craig Anthony Ross
on
Habeas Corpus

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file petitioner's reply to informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and including January 5, 2000.

S079768

In re Mathew Musladin
on
Habeas Corpus

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file a reply to the informal response is extended to and including December 29, 1999.

- S081477 In re Arthur Ellen Hyland III
 on
 Habeas Corpus
 On application of the Attorney General and good cause
 appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file an informal
 response is extended to and including January 6, 2000.
- S082662 People, Respondent
 v.
 Robert Nelson Atkins, Appellant
 On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is
 ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's opening brief on
 the merits is extended to and including January 16, 2000.
- S073196 Robert Scheiding et al., Appellants
 v.
 General Motors Corporation, Respondent
 The application of Burlington Northern and Santa Fe Railway
 Company for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of
 appellants is hereby granted.
 An answer thereto may be served and filed by any party within
 twenty days of the filing of the brief.
- S080201 PLCM Group Incorporated, Respondent
 v.
 David Drexler, Appellant
 Dearborn Insurance Company et al., Respondents
 The application of American Corporate Counsel Association for
 permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of respondent is
 hereby granted.
 An answer thereto may be served and filed by any party within
 twenty days of the filing of the brief.
- S080201 PLCM Group Incorporated, Respondent
 v.
 David Drexler, Appellant
 Dearborn Insurance Company et al., Respondents
 The application of California Correctional Peace Officers
 Association for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support
 of respondent is hereby granted.
 An answer thereto may be served and filed by any party within
 twenty days of the filing of the brief.

- S080201 PLCM Group Incorporated, Respondent
 v.
 David Drexler, Appellant
 Dearborn Insurance Company et al., Respondents
 The application of California International Chemical Company,
 Inc. for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of
 respondent is hereby granted.
 An answer thereto may be served and filed by any party within
 twenty days of the filing of the brief.
- S080201 PLCM Group Incorporated, Respondent
 v.
 David Drexler, Appellant
 Dearborn Insurance Company et al., Respondents
 The application of Western Center on Law and Poverty and Bet
 Tzedek Legal Services for permission to file an amicus curiae brief
 is hereby granted.
 An answer thereto may be served and filed by any party within
 twenty days of the filing of the brief.
- S078858 In re Evaristo Vasquez
 on
 Habeas Corpus
 The above entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal,
 Second Appellate District, Division One.
- S083942 Lawrence E. Kagan et al., Petitioners
 v.
 Los Angeles County Superior Court, Respondent
 Richard Weissman, Real Party in Interest
 The above entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal,
 Second Appellate District.
- S084201 Michael Alexander Christopher, Petitioner
 v.
 Fresno County Superior Court, Respondent
 People, Real Party in Interest
 The above entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal,
 Fifth Appellate District.

S033998 In the Matter of the Suspension of Attorneys

Pursuant to Rule 962 California Rules of Court

Having been provided proof of compliance pursuant to subdivision (1) of section 11350.6 of the Welfare and Institutions Code, the suspension of **John Leslie Odell**, pursuant to our order filed on July 10, 1995, is hereby terminated.

This order is final forthwith.

